

1 FABIO E. MARINO (STATE BAR NO. 183825)
fmarino@mwe.com

2 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100
3 Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephone: 650-815-7400
4 Facsimile: 650-815-7401

5 DENISE M. MINGRONE (STATE BAR NO. 135224)
dmingrone@orrick.com

6 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
7 1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, California 94025
8 Telephone: 650-614-7400
Facsimile: 650-614-7401

9 Attorneys for Defendant
10 BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN JOSE DIVISION
14

15 A10 NETWORKS, INC., a California
16 corporation,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS
20 SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

21 Defendant.


Case No. 5:11-cv-05493-LHK

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
DISMISSING ACTION IN FAVOR OF
DEFENDANT AND AGAINST
PLAINTIFF**

Ctrm: 8, 4th Floor
Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh

1 On May 29, 2012, the Court issued an order granting Defendant Brocade Communications
2 Systems Inc.'s ("Brocade") motion to dismiss on the grounds that Plaintiff A10 Networks, Inc.
3 ("A10") lacks standing to assert the claim alleged in A10's First Amended Complaint and for
4 A10's failure to join necessary and indispensable parties. D.I. 081. The Court granted A10
5 twenty-one (21) days to file an amended complaint to add parties. The 21 days has elapsed and
6 A10 has failed to file an amended complaint. As a result, the Court hereby dismisses this case
7 and enters judgment in favor of Brocade and against A10 in the above-entitled action. Each party
8 to bear its own fees and costs. The Clerk shall close the file.

9
10 Dated: June 27, 2012


11 Hon. Lucy H. Koh
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28